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## 1 Introduction

M.A.I.O.R. (Management Artificial Intelligence and Operations Research) designs and develops advanced software solutions for service planning, vehicles and crew scheduling, and company performance analysis in several transportation industries. Its solutions help bus and rail transit providers, airline companies, air traffic controllers, and seaport agencies to optimally plan their services and manage their day-to-day operations in order to significantly reduce costs and increase customer satisfaction while respecting complex technical and regulatory constraints. With 30 years of experience, M.A.I.O.R. is a global leading company with over 100 customers in Asia, Europe, and North America, among which 8 of the 10 largest Italian cities.
Planning a public transportation system is a complex process, which has traditionally been broken down in several phases, performed in sequence. Most often, the trips required to cover a service (Time Tabling-TT) with the desired frequency (headway) are decided early on, while the vehicles needed to cover these trips (Vehicle Scheduling-VS) are determined at a later stage. This potentially leads to requiring a larger number of vehicles (and, therefore, drivers) than would be possible if the two decisions were performed simultaneously.
Reducing the number of circulating vehicles not only brings clear economic benefits to the transportation company, but it also contributes to reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and other harmful emissions. Environmental concerns are also the powerful incentive leading an increasing number of Local Public Transport (LPT) companies to integrate in their fleets more and more electric vehicles (EVs). However, since EVs have lower autonomy and much longer refuelling times, this introduces new technical constraints in the VS.
A further element of interest for LPT companies after the COVID-19 outbreak is the increased alert to local epidemiological situations. Restrictive measures applied by the government can cause sudden variations of flow of passengers, while social distancing dispositions can produce a variation in vehicles capability. It is therefore even more essential than ever for LPT companies to be able to plan different services according to the possible different scenarios and quickly compute contingency plans to respond to unforeseeable events.
In light of the above, we propose a challenge for the implementation of algorithms for the solution of an Integrated Timetabling and Vehicle Scheduling (ITTVS) problem with a mixed fleet of EVs and traditional Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) vehicles. A relevant characteristic of the problem is that it requires a non-periodic planning in which both travel times and required frequencies/headways significantly vary along the time horizon.

## 2 Description of the problem

This section describes the ITTVS problem in detail.
The main input to the integrated TT-VS problem is a public transportation network (PTN). In general, a PTN is given in the form of a graph, where the nodes correspond to bus stops or depots, and the links correspond to direct bus transits. Upon the given PTN, a planned service is specified by means of a given set $L$ of lines. A line $l \in L$ is a bi-directional path $A B$ in the PTN between two terminals $A_{l}$ and $B_{l}$ (i.e., start/end stops of a line). A line $l$ has two directions, called in-bound and out-bound and denoted by $D_{l}=\left\{\overrightarrow{A_{l} B_{l}}, \overrightarrow{B_{l} A_{l}}\right\}$, respectively. We denote by $D=\cup_{l \in L} D_{l}$ the set of all directions, and, similarly, by $N=\cup_{l \in L}\left\{A_{l}, B_{l}\right\}$ the set of all terminals of the involved lines. For each direction, a main stop is identified, represented by a clock in Figure 1. The regularity of the service is measured by means of the headways, i.e., the interval of time between two consecutive vehicles (performing trips of that line) passing by the main stop. Although the figure may suggest that the main stop needs be the same for the
two directions of a line, this is not necessarily true (especially since the stops along the two directions could be disjoint). The choice of the main stop can vary, depending on the structure of the line; usually it is a "busy" point of the line, with high passenger demand, for which the planners are interested to monitor service frequency. It may coincide with one of the terminals if it is a relevant location of the line (e.g., a railway node). For each line, for each direction of the line, and for each of the time windows in which the time horizon is subdivided, the desired (a.k.a. ideal) headway is given. The lines are independent in terms of the desired headways, i.e., their Time Tabling (TT) requirements, but are linked by the fact of being served by a unique pool of vehicles, i.e., by the Vehicle Scheduling (VS) requirements.
Together with the PTN, the set $T$ of potential trips is specified in the input data. Each trip $i \in T$ corresponds to an uniquely identified direction $d(i)$ in a line $l$ in the PTN, and is therefore characterized by a start and end terminal (those of $d(i)$ ), in the following denoted for convenience respectively by $\operatorname{sn}(i)$ and $e n(i)$, with the corresponding departure time (from $\operatorname{sn}(i)$ ) and arrival time (at en(i)) being denoted by $s t(i)$ and $\operatorname{et}(i)$, respectively. Also, the length $l(i)$ of the trip (in km ) is given. Since each trip belongs to a given direction of a line, we define $T=\left\{T_{d}\right\}_{d \in D}$ as the "direction partition" of $T$. Note that all trips in $T_{d}$ share the same length, but not the same duration. Indeed, the main rationale for the non-periodic setting of our ITTVS problem, as opposed to the periodic setting prevalent in the timetabling literature, is precisely that trips times on the same line at different times of the day (and even within the same time window) can be significantly different, e.g. due to congestion during rush hours. It is also important to remark that not every trip in $T$ has to be operated by some vehicle, and in fact the aim of the ITTVS problem is precisely to select which of the potential trips need to be selected.
For VS purposes it is necessary to consider in the PTN, besides the terminal nodes $A$ and $B$, also the single depot node $O$ (but not any other intermediate stop of the line).


Figure 1: A line.
In the following, we will denote by $N^{+}=N \cup\{O\}$ the set of all nodes in the PTN relevant for our problem.

## 3 Constraints

### 3.1 TT Constraint

In our non-periodic planning, the time horizon $H$ is given; say 5:00-27:00, i.e., each day is treated independently and with 27:00 we refer to 3:00 AM of the next day. Any time-related quantity is expressed as an integer, measuring seconds (hence, typically $\leq 97200$ ). For each trip $i \in T$, besides the above-mentioned arrival times at the terminals, also the arrival time $a(i)$ at the main stop of $d(i)$ is known. Although we have different types of vehicle, we assume that the arrival times of all trips are independent from the type of vehicle chosen to perform them.

A timetable $\pi_{d}$ for a direction $d \in D$ is a subset of its potential input trips $T_{d}$; a timetable is then just the union of $|D|$ (independent) timetables, one for each direction of each line, i.e., $\pi=\cup_{d \in D} \pi_{d}$. In order to measure the regularity of a timetable we have to consider the pairs of consecutive trips; thus, we denote by $P\left(\pi_{d}\right)$ the set of all consecutive pairs of trips in $\pi_{d}$. Given a trip $i$, its consecutive trip $j$ is the one in $\pi_{d}$ passing by the main stop at the closest point in time after $a(i)$ (if any), i.e., such that $a(j) \geq a(i)$ and $a(j)-a(i)$ is minimal. For any $(i, j) \in P\left(\pi_{d}\right)$, we define the (actual) headway of the pair as the amount of time separating their passing by the main stop, i.e., $w_{i j}=a(j)-a(i)$.
As the desired frequency of service typically varies along the day, $H$ is partitioned into $k$ time windows defined by $k+1$ time instants $t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k}$, where $t_{0}$ and $t_{k}$ are the initial and final time instants of $H$. For each time window $h$ and each direction $d \in D$, we are given the ideal headway $I_{d}^{h}$, together with minimum and maximum headways $I_{d, \min }^{h} \leq I_{d}^{h} \leq I_{d, \max }^{h}$. For each trip $i$, we will denote by $h(i)$ the time window in which $a(i)$ is (note that the time window is $\left(t_{h(i)-1}, t_{h(i)}\right]$, i.e., $h(i)$ is the index of the ending instant and the starting instant do not belong to the window). Given a pair $(i, j) \in P\left(\pi_{d}\right)$, if both trips pass by the main stop within the same time window, i.e., $h(i)=h(j)=h$, then the ideal, minimum and maximum headways for the pair are simply defined as $I_{i j}=I_{d}^{h}, I_{i j, \min }=I_{d, \min }^{h}$, and $I_{i j, \max }=I_{d, \max }^{h}$, respectively. Only minor changes are required to account for "border effects" when $a(j)$ and $a(i)$ fall in two consecutive time windows, i.e., $h(j)=h(i)+1$ (we assume that feasible pairs of consecutive trips can never be so far away in time as to fall in non-adjacent time windows). For the minimum and maximum headways we take

$$
I_{i j, \min }=\max \left\{I_{d, \min }^{h(i)}, I_{d, \min }^{h(j)}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad I_{i j, \max }=\max \left\{I_{d, \max }^{h(i)}, I_{d, \max }^{h(j)}\right\}
$$

As for the desired headway $I_{i j}$, we take the convex combination of $I_{d}^{h(i)}$ and $I_{d}^{h(j)}$ whose weights are $\left(t_{h(i)}-a(i)\right) / w_{i j}$ and $\left(a(j)-t_{h(i)}\right) / w_{i j}$, respectively. Note that, like all time-related quantities, the value of $I_{i j}$ obtained by the previous formula must be expressed in seconds, and therefore rounded to the nearest second (integer).
With the above definitions, a feasible timetable $\pi_{d} \subset T_{d}$ for a direction $d \in D$ is a set of trips that satisfy all the minimum and maximum headway constraints, that is, such that $I_{i j, \min } \leq$ $w_{i j} \leq I_{i j, \max }$ for each pair $(i, j) \in P\left(\pi_{d}\right)$. Furthermore, the first and the last trip of $\pi_{d}$ have to belong to given subsets $T_{d}^{i n i}$ and $T_{d}^{f i n}$ of initial and final trips, specified as an input of the problem.

### 3.2 VS Constraint

### 3.2.1 Common constraints for all vehicles

Besides performing trips in $T$, vehicles can move in the PTN without passengers on board, which is called a deadhead trip. In particular, a vehicle leaving a depot to reach the startterminal of a trip is said to be performing a pull-out trip; similarly, it performs a pull-in trip when it returns to the depot from the end-terminal of a trip.
For each node $n \in N^{+}$and for each time window $h$ we are given a minimum and maximum stopping time, denoted by $\delta_{n, \min }^{h}$ and $\delta_{n, \max }^{h}$, respectively; however, we assume that there is no maximum stopping time at the depot, i.e., $\delta_{O, \max }^{h}=\infty$ for all $h$. The period during which a vehicle is stationary at a node is defined as a break. We distinguish between stopping-time and breaking-time: the former is the duration of a break, while the latter is the portion of stopping-time considered in the VS objective function (see item 2 in Section 4.2 for details). If a break falls in two or more consecutive time windows, its minimum and maximum stopping
time are these of the first time window (arrival at the node). Note that we do not consider stopping times for any intermediate node of a line.
For each terminal $n \in N$ and for each time window $h$, we are also given the travel time for a pull-in and pull-out trip, denoted by $t_{n+}^{h}$ and $t_{n-}^{h}$, respectively, as well of the corresponding lengths $l_{n+}$ and $l_{n-}$. Note that, as for trips, the lengths do not depend on the time of the day; travel times do, but, unlike for trips, the time is supposed to be constant at least inside the same time window. The travel time of a deadhead is that of the time window that contains the instant (indicated as "terminal-time") in which the vehicle is at the terminal $n$, i.e., the initial instant in the case of pull-in and the final instant in the case of pull-out. We add that if the terminal-time of deadhead is before the beginning of the first time window or is after the end of the last time window, the travel time of that deadhead is respectively the travel time of the first or last time window.
Two trips $i, j \in T$ (not necessarily belonging to the same line) are said to be compatible if they can be performed consecutively by the same vehicle. This immediately implies $s t(j) \geq$ et $(i)$, i.e., trip $j$ has to start after that trip $i$ has finished. We distinguish two types of compatibility:

- in-line compatibility means that:

1. en $(i)=s n(j)$, i.e., the trip starts at the same terminal in which it ends;
2. $\delta_{e n(i), \min }^{h(i)} \leq s t(j)-e t(i) \leq \delta_{e n(i), \text { max }}^{h(i)}$, i.e., the stopping time at the terminal between the end of trip $i$ and the start of trip $j$ is feasible;

- out-line compatibility means that:

1. $e n(i) \neq \operatorname{sn}(j)$;
2. $\operatorname{st}(j)-e t(i) \geq t_{e n(i)+}^{h(i)}+\delta_{O^{+}, \min }^{h(i)}+t_{s n(j)-}^{h(j)}$;
in other words, there must be enough time between the end of trip $i$ and the start of trip $j$ to perform a pull-in trip from en $(i)$, wait the minimum amount of time at the depot, and then perform a pull-out trip towards $\operatorname{sn}(j)$. We observe that it is allowed to stop between a trip and a pull-in/out trip for recharging (see items 3 and 8 in Section 3.2.2 for details). But if you don't recharge, then you are not allowed to stop (with stopping time $>0$ ) at terminals between a trip and a pull-in/out trip. Note that the minimum stopping time $\delta_{n, \min }^{h}$ regards only the in-line compatibility and this does not refer to the stopping time between a trip and a pull-in/out trip, while the maximum stopping time $\delta_{n, \max }^{h}$ is always in force. It should also be noted that pull-in and pull-out (deadhead) trips are not included in $T$, as they are not (passenger) service trips (i.e., no passengers on board).

In our problem, if $e n(i) \neq s n(j)$, the vehicle cannot move directly from one terminal to the other, but it must necessarily perform an out-line compatibility. In other words, we only allow deadhead trips that start or end at the depot (i.e., pull-in/pull-out trips).

### 3.2.2 Electric constraints

The use of EVs involves the introduction of further constraints due to the need of recharging. A recharging activity can only be carried out within a break on a node enabled to recharge or at the depot. Some nodes allow fast recharge, i.e., the recharge time is smaller with respect to the normal recharge time. The fast recharge time will be obtained by multiplying the normal recharge time by a suitable coefficient $\varphi \in(0,1)$ provided as input.

We denote by $V$ the set of vehicle typologies and by $V_{E} \subset V$ the subset of EV typologies composing the fleet. Each type of EV has an autonomy (in km) $a_{v}^{\text {tot }}$ and a maximum charging time $t_{R, v}$. The vehicles are fully charged when leaving the depot for the first time. We define the residual autonomy $a_{r e s}$ of a vehicle as the kilometers that the vehicle can still cover, and the complementary autonomy $a_{r e s}^{c}=a_{v}^{\text {tot }}-a_{r e s}$. The residual autonomy decreases by the kilometers traveled by the vehicle, both for passenger trips and for deadhead ones (but not during breaks), and increases in case of recharging linearly with respect to the recharge time.
The management of recharges has to satisfy the following rules:

1. The full recharge time is proportional to the complementary autonomy $a_{r e s}^{c}$, being equal to $t_{R, v}\left(a_{r e s}^{c} / a_{v}^{\text {tot }}\right)$.
2. It is possible to carry out partial recharges with a duration greater than or equal to the minimum recharge time $t_{\min , v}$ provided as input, for all nodes with recharging capabilities.
3. The autonomy gained from a recharge of duration $\tau_{r}$ is equal to $a_{v}^{t o t}\left(\tau_{r} / t_{R, v}\right)$, with $t_{\min , v} \leq \tau_{r} \leq t_{R, v}\left(a_{r e s}^{c} / a_{v}^{\text {tot }}\right)$.
4. In case the recharge is a fast one (see the following points), the value $t_{R, v}$ is intended to be replaced by $\varphi t_{R, v}$ in the items $1-3$.
5. At each instant it must be $a_{r e s} \geq 0$.
6. The slow charging capacity $s_{n}$ and the fast charging capacity $f_{n}$ are provided for each node $n$, and represent, respectively, the maximum number of vehicles which can simultaneously perform a slow charge or a fast charge. If a node $n$ is not enabled to slow/fast charge, the corresponding $s_{n} / f_{n}$ will be zero.
7. The parking capacity $c_{n}$ is provided for each node $n$, and represents the maximum number of vehicles not occupying a charging slot which can simultaneously perform a break. It is possible for all type of vehicle (also for ICE ones) to perform a break either in a parking or in a charging slot. If a node $n$ is not enabled to parking, the corresponding $c_{n}$ will assume the value zero (but note that the node may still have nonzero $s_{n} / f_{n}$, and therefore that breaks may still be allowed there).
8. If a vehicle remains at a node for a period $\tau$, and it recharges at the node for a period $\tau_{r} \leq \tau$, then

- if the the break is between two trips compatible in-line, all the non-recharging time in excess of the minimum stopping time, i.e., $\tau-\max \left\{\tau_{r}, \delta_{n, \min }^{h}\right\}$ is considered as break time for the purpose of the VS objective computation (see item 2 in Section 4.2). The time spent in a parking slot in excess to the minimum stop time is always considered as break time;
- otherwise, if the break is between a trip and pull-in/out trip, the break has to be used exclusively to recharging, or rather $\tau=\tau_{r}$. In particular, in this case either $\tau_{r}=0$ or $t_{\min , v} \leq \tau_{r} \leq \min \left\{t_{R, v}\left(a_{r e s}^{c} / a_{v}^{t o t}\right), \delta_{n, \max }^{h}\right\}$.

9. A vehicle can move from a parking slot to a charging slot in the same node and vice versa, but it is not allowed to split recharge neither in two or more different times nor in different charging slots during a single activity break. The minimum and maximum stopping times are unaffected by changes of slot, i.e., they have to be computed (even for the purpose of the break-time computation) w.r.t. the total time that the vehicle remains in the node, even if it moves between different slots.

The availability of electric vehicles of type $v$ is bounded by a given $N_{v}$, while we suppose the number of ICE vehicles to be unbounded.

### 3.2.3 Feasible vehicle schedule

A feasible vehicle block is the workplan for a vehicle for a whole day, composed of an initial pull-out trip, a sequence of (compatible) trips in $T$, possibly separated by breaks (including recharges) or pull-in/out trips, and a final pull-in trip to return to the depot, with all the activities satisfying the corresponding constraints. A feasible vehicle schedule $\Omega$ is a subset of the input potential trips $T$ that can be partitioned in feasible vehicle blocks. Each of the vehicle blocks in the vehicle schedule must be annotated with the type of the vehicle performing it for the purpose of also satisfying the specific constraints for EVs. The number of vehicle blocks corresponding to each type of vehicle must not exceed the maximum number of available vehicles of that type available in the fleet.

### 3.3 Linking constraint

The constraint linking the TT and VS part of the problem is simply that each trip in the TT must be performed by exactly one vehicle. In other words, the set of trips in the feasible timetable and in the feasible vehicle schedule must coincide.

## 4 Objective function

The objective of our integrated problem is to provide a solution that optimally balances the service provider cost (VS objective) and the users satisfaction (TT objective). The latter is captured by a measure all the (relative) deviations between the actual headways and the desired ones, by means of the penalty function described below. The former is somewhat more complex. Since one of the main costs for the service provider is usually the number of vehicles used weighted with the type of vehicle used (electric/ICE), the primary VS objective is the minimization of the number of vehicle blocks. Secondary metrics for the service provider cost consist in the time spent by the vehicles waiting at the terminals in excess to the minimum waiting time and recharge time (for drivers will typically have to man them even when stationary, thus increasing labour cost), the time spent by the vehicles performing pull-in and pull-out trips (for the same reason as above, plus the fact that vehicles typically consume some fuel), and the driving time in the case of ICE vehicles ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-cost).

### 4.1 TT-costs

To evaluate the quality of a (feasible) timetable, a quadratic penalty function is given depending on the absolute value of the relative deviation of each (feasible) actual headway $w_{i j}=a(j)-a(i)$ of each pair $(i, j) \in P\left(\pi_{d}\right)$ from its ideal one $I_{i j}$, i.e.,

$$
c_{T T}=\sum_{d \in D} \sum_{(i, j) \in P\left(\pi_{d}\right)} p\left(\frac{\left|w_{i j}-I_{i j}\right|}{I_{i j}}\right) .
$$

The penalty function $p(z)$ satisfies $p(0)=0$, while for $z>0$ the value of $p(z)$ is that of is a polynomial of degree two in $z$ with given coefficients. Note that the constant term of the polynomial is not necessarily zero, i.e., a fixed cost may be paid whenever $w_{i j} \neq I_{i j}$ irrespectively of the size of the (relative) difference.

### 4.2 VS-costs

The component of VS-cost is composed of different terms. With $B$ denoting the set of vehicle blocks, for each $b \in B$ the following quantities are defined:

1. a fixed $\operatorname{cost} c_{v}$ for using the vehicle (depending on the type $v \in V$, and being typically higher for ICE vehicles), irrespectively on how much time it is used and how many times it re-enters and leaves the deposit during the block;
2. a break cost, proportional to the break time $t_{b}^{\text {break }}$ spent at the nodes of the block (which does not include the minimum stopping-times and the recharge times) by a coefficient $c^{\text {break }}$;
3. pull-in/pull-out costs, proportional to sum of the pull-in and pull-out times $t_{b}^{p i}$ and $t_{b}^{p o}$ by a coefficient $c_{v}^{p i o}$ (also depending on the type of vehicle);
4. a cost for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions produced, proportional to the total driving time $d(b)$ by a coefficient $c_{v}^{C O 2}$ depending on the type of the vehicle (clearly, $c_{v}^{C O 2}=0$ for $v \in V_{E}$ ).

All in all, the VS-cost is obtained as

$$
c_{V S}=\sum_{b \in B}\left(c_{v}+c^{b r e a k} t_{b}^{b r e a k}+c_{v}^{p i o}\left(t_{b}^{p i}+t_{b}^{p o}\right)+c_{v}^{C O 2} d(b)\right)
$$

### 4.3 Global cost

The TT and VS target functions are added together to get the total cost. The formula for the objective function is

$$
c=c_{T T}+c_{V S}
$$

## 5 Solution

The solution must specify the subset $T_{S} \subset T$ of the potential trips which represents both a feasible timetable and a feasible vehicle schedule. $T_{S}$ must be explicitly partitioned into a set of feasible vehicle blocks, each annotated with the type of vehicle performing it, in such a way as to satisfy the fleet capacity constraints. A minimum-cost solution is sought for.

## 6 Example

In this section, we provide a graphic representation of a test case. The PTN in this case is made up of two lines and four nodes (one of which is the depot). For each line we provide the data related to the headways and an admissible solution (not necessarily optimal). The solution shows the details of the timetabling and of the activities of each vehicle block in the solution.


| Nodes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | node1 | node2 | node3 | depot |
| break <br> Capacity | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1000 |
| fastCharge <br> Capacity | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| slowCharge <br> Capacity | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| LineName |  | line1 | line1 | line2 | line2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction |  | inbound | outBound | inbound | outBound |
| Time <br> window 1 | Min | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
|  | Ideal <br> headway | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| Max <br> headway | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |  |
| Time <br> window 2 <br> headway | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |  |
| Ideal <br> headway | 1320 | 1320 | 1200 | 1200 |  |
| Max <br> headway | 1820 | 1820 | 1820 | 1820 |  |
| Min <br> Time <br> headway | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |  |
| Ideal <br> window 3 | 780 | 780 | 840 | 840 |  |
| headway <br> Meadway | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 | 1080 |  |


| Hedway report |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line | Line1 |
| Direction | InBound |
| Start node | Node2 |
| End node | Node1 |
| Main stop | Node2 |
| Time | Achieved headway |
| 29580 | 780 |
| 30360 | 780 |
| 31140 | 780 |
| 31920 | 780 |
| 32700 | 780 |
| 33480 | 780 |
| 34200 | 720 |
| 34980 | 780 |
| 35700 | 720 |
| 36780 | 1080 |
| 38100 | 1320 |
| 39420 | 1320 |
| 40740 | 1320 |
| 42060 | 1320 |
| 43320 | 1260 |
| 44100 | 780 |
| 44880 | 780 |
| 45660 | 780 |
| 46440 | 780 |
| 47220 | 780 |
| 48000 | 780 |
| 48780 | 780 |
| 49560 | 780 |
| 50340 | 780 |


| Hedway report |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line | Line1 |
| Direction | OutBound |
| Start node | Node1 |
| End node | Node2 |
| Main stop | Node2 |
| Time | Achieved headway |
| 29220 | 840 |
| 30060 | 840 |
| 30840 | 780 |
| 31620 | 780 |
| 32400 | 780 |
| 33180 | 780 |
| 33960 | 780 |
| 34740 | 780 |
| 35820 | 1080 |
| 37140 | 1320 |
| 38460 | 1320 |
| 39780 | 1320 |
| 41100 | 1320 |
| 42420 | 1320 |
| 43260 | 840 |
| 44040 | 780 |
| 44820 | 780 |
| 45600 | 780 |
| 46380 | 780 |
| 47160 | 780 |
| 47940 | 780 |
| 48720 | 780 |
| 49500 | 780 |


| Hedway report |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line | Line2 |
| Direction | InBound |
| Start node | Node3 |
| End node | Node1 |
| Main stop | Node3 |
| Time | Achieved headway |
| 29100 | 840 |
| 29880 | 780 |
| 30660 | 780 |
| 31440 | 780 |
| 32220 | 780 |
| 33000 | 780 |
| 33780 | 780 |
| 34560 | 780 |
| 35520 | 960 |
| 36720 | 1200 |
| 37920 | 1200 |
| 39120 | 1200 |
| 40320 | 1200 |
| 41580 | 1260 |
| 42720 | 1140 |
| 43560 | 840 |
| 44400 | 840 |
| 45240 | 840 |
| 46080 | 840 |
| 46920 | 840 |
| 47760 | 840 |
| 48600 | 840 |
| 49440 | 840 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Hedway report |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line | Line2 |
| Direction | OutBound |
| Start node | Node1 |
| End node | Node3 |
| Main stop | Node3 |
| Time | Achieved headway |
| 29940 | 840 |
| 30720 | 780 |
| 31500 | 780 |
| 32280 | 780 |
| 33060 | 780 |
| 33840 | 780 |
| 34620 | 780 |
| 35400 | 780 |
| 36420 | 1020 |
| 37620 | 1200 |
| 38820 | 1200 |
| 40020 | 1200 |
| 41220 | 1200 |
| 42480 | 1260 |
| 43560 | 1080 |
| 44400 | 840 |
| 45240 | 840 |
| 46080 | 840 |
| 46920 | 840 |
| 47760 | 840 |
| 48600 | 840 |
| 49440 | 840 |
| 50280 | 840 |


| Vehicle block report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle block |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Vehicle type |  |  |  | Electric |  |
| Vehicle autonomy |  |  |  | 60 mi |  |
| Type of activity | Start node | Start time | End node | End time | autonomy at activity end |
| pull-out: | Depot | 28260 | Node2 | 28800 | 57.44 |
| trip: | Node2 | 28800 | Node1 | 29760 | 53.56 |
| break: | Node1 | 29760 | Node1 | 29880 | 53.56 |
| trip: | Node1 | 29880 | Node3 | 30600 | 49.37 |
| break: | Node3 | 30600 | Node3 | 30720 | 49.37 |
| trip: | Node3 | 30720 | Node1 | 31500 | 44.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 31500 | Node1 | 31620 | 44.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 31620 | Node2 | 32340 | 40.96 |
| break: | Node2 | 32340 | Node2 | 32700 | 40.96 |
| trip: | Node2 | 32700 | Node1 | 33660 | 37.08 |
| break: | Node1 | 33660 | Node1 | 33780 | 37.08 |
| trip: | Node1 | 33780 | Node3 | 34500 | 32.89 |
| break: | Node3 | 34500 | Node3 | 34620 | 32.89 |
| trip: | Node3 | 34620 | Node1 | 35400 | 28.18 |
| break: | Node1 | 35400 | Node1 | 35520 | 28.18 |
| trip: | Node1 | 35520 | Node3 | 36240 | 23.99 |
| break: | Node3 | 36240 | Node3 | 36420 | 23.99 |
| trip: | Node3 | 36420 | Node1 | 37200 | 19.28 |
| break: | Node1 | 37200 | Node1 | 37920 | 19.28 |
| trip: | Node1 | 37920 | Node3 | 38640 | 15.09 |
| break: | Node3 | 38640 | Node3 | 38820 | 15.09 |
| trip: | Node3 | 38820 | Node1 | 39600 | 10.37 |
| fCharge: | Node1 | 39600 | Node1 | 40320 | 34.37 |
| trip: | Node1 | 40320 | Node3 | 41040 | 30.19 |
| break: | Node3 | 41040 | Node3 | 41220 | 30.19 |
| trip: | Node3 | 41220 | Node1 | 42000 | 25.47 |
| fCharge: | Node1 | 42000 | Node1 | 42420 | 39.47 |
| trip: | Node1 | 42420 | Node2 | 43140 | 35.77 |
| break: | Node2 | 43140 | Node2 | 43320 | 35.77 |
| trip: | Node2 | 43320 | Node1 | 44280 | 31.89 |
| fCharge: | Node1 | 44280 | Node1 | 44400 | 35.89 |
| trip: | Node1 | 44400 | Node3 | 45120 | 31.70 |
| break: | Node3 | 45120 | Node3 | 45240 | 31.70 |
| trip: | Node3 | 45240 | Node1 | 46020 | 26.99 |
| break: | Node1 | 46020 | Node1 | 46380 | 26.99 |
| trip: | Node1 | 46380 | Node2 | 47100 | 23.28 |
| break: | Node2 | 47100 | Node2 | 47220 | 23.28 |
| trip: | Node2 | 47220 | Node1 | 48180 | 19.40 |
| break: | Node1 | 48180 | Node1 | 48600 | 19.40 |
| trip: | Node1 | 48600 | Node3 | 49320 | 15.22 |
| break: | Node3 | 49320 | Node3 | 49440 | 15.22 |
| trip: | Node3 | 49440 | Node1 | 50220 | 10.50 |
| pull-in: | Node1 | 50220 | Depot | 50820 | 7.82 |


| Vehicle block report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle block |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Vehicle type |  |  |  | Electric |  |
| Vehicle autonomy |  |  |  | 60 mi |  |
| Type of activity | Start node | Start time | End node | End time | autonomy at activity end |
| pull-out | Depot | 27780 | Node1 | 28380 | 57.14 |
| trip: | Node1 | 28380 | Node2 | 29100 | 53.44 |
| break: | Node2 | 29100 | Node2 | 29580 | 53.44 |
| trip: | Node2 | 29580 | Node1 | 30540 | 49.56 |
| break: | Node1 | 30540 | Node1 | 30660 | 49.56 |
| trip: | Node1 | 30660 | Node3 | 31380 | 45.37 |
| break: | Node3 | 31380 | Node3 | 31500 | 45.37 |
| trip: | Node3 | 31500 | Node1 | 32280 | 40.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 32280 | Node1 | 32400 | 40.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 32400 | Node2 | 33120 | 36.95 |
| break: | Node2 | 33120 | Node2 | 33480 | 36.95 |
| trip: | Node2 | 33480 | Node1 | 34440 | 33.07 |
| break: | Node1 | 34440 | Node1 | 34560 | 33.07 |
| trip: | Node1 | 34560 | Node3 | 35280 | 28.89 |
| break: | Node3 | 35280 | Node3 | 35400 | 28.89 |
| trip: | Node3 | 35400 | Node1 | 36180 | 24.17 |
| fCharge: | Node1 | 36180 | Node1 | 37140 | 56.17 |
| trip: | Node1 | 37140 | Node2 | 37860 | 52.47 |
| break: | Node2 | 37860 | Node2 | 38100 | 42.47 |
| trip: | Node2 | 38100 | Node1 | 39060 | 48.59 |
| break: | Node1 | 39060 | Node1 | 39780 | 48.59 |
| trip: | Node1 | 39780 | Node2 | 40500 | 44.89 |
| break: | Node2 | 40500 | Node2 | 40740 | 44.89 |
| trip: | Node2 | 40740 | Node1 | 41700 | 41.00 |
| break: | Node1 | 41700 | Node1 | 42720 | 41.00 |
| trip: | Node1 | 42720 | Node3 | 43440 | 36.82 |
| break: | Node3 | 43440 | Node3 | 43560 | 36.82 |
| trip: | Node3 | 43560 | Node1 | 44340 | 32.10 |
| break: | Node1 | 44340 | Node1 | 44820 | 32.10 |
| trip: | Node1 | 44820 | Node2 | 45540 | 28.40 |
| break: | Node2 | 45540 | Node2 | 45660 | 28.40 |
| trip: | Node2 | 45660 | Node1 | 46620 | 24.52 |
| break: | Node1 | 46620 | Node1 | 46920 | 24.52 |
| trip: | Node1 | 46920 | Node3 | 47640 | 20.34 |
| break: | Node3 | 47640 | Node3 | 47760 | 20.34 |
| trip: | Node3 | 47760 | Node1 | 48540 | 15.62 |
| break: | Node1 | 48540 | Node1 | 48720 | 15.62 |
| trip: | Node1 | 48720 | Node2 | 49440 | 11.92 |
| break: | Node2 | 49440 | Node2 | 49560 | 11.92 |
| trip: | Node2 | 49560 | Node1 | 50520 | 8.04 |
| Pull-in | Node1 | 50250 | Depot | 51120 | 5.36 |


| Vehicle block report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle block |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Vehicle type |  |  |  | Electric |  |
| Vehicle autonomy |  |  |  | 60 mi |  |
| Type of activity | Start node | Start <br> time | End node | End time | autonomy at activity end |
| pull-out: | Depot | 28260 | Node1 | 29220 | 57.14 |
| trip: | Node1 | 29220 | Node2 | 29940 | 53.44 |
| break: | Node2 | 29940 | Node2 | 30360 | 53.44 |
| trip: | Node2 | 30360 | Node1 | 31320 | 49.56 |
| break: | Node1 | 31320 | Node1 | 31440 | 49.56 |
| trip: | Node1 | 31440 | Node3 | 32160 | 45.37 |
| break: | Node3 | 32160 | Node3 | 32280 | 45.37 |
| trip: | Node3 | 32280 | Node1 | 33060 | 40.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 33060 | Node1 | 33180 | 40.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 33180 | Node2 | 33900 | 36.95 |
| break: | Node2 | 33900 | Node2 | 34200 | 36.95 |
| trip: | Node2 | 34200 | Node1 | 35160 | 33.07 |
| break: | Node1 | 35160 | Node1 | 35820 | 33.07 |
| trip: | Node1 | 35820 | Node2 | 36540 | 29.37 |
| break: | Node2 | 36540 | Node2 | 36780 | 29.37 |
| trip: | Node2 | 36780 | Node1 | 37740 | 25.49 |
| break: | Node1 | 37740 | Node1 | 38460 | 25.49 |
| trip: | Node1 | 38460 | Node2 | 39180 | 21.78 |
| break: | Node2 | 39180 | Node2 | 39420 | 21.78 |
| trip: | Node2 | 39420 | Node1 | 40380 | 17.91 |
| fCharge | Node1 | 40380 | Node1 | 41100 | 41.91 |
| trip: | Node1 | 41100 | Node2 | 41820 | 38.20 |
| break: | Node2 | 41820 | Node2 | 42060 | 38.20 |
| trip: | Node2 | 42060 | Node1 | 43020 | 34.32 |
| break: | Node1 | 43020 | Node1 | 43560 | 34.32 |
| trip: | Node1 | 43560 | Node3 | 44280 | 30.14 |
| break: | Node3 | 44280 | Node3 | 44400 | 30.14 |
| trip: | Node3 | 44400 | Node1 | 45180 | 25.42 |
| sCharge: | Node1 | 45180 | Node1 | 45600 | 28.92 |
| trip: | Node1 | 45600 | Node2 | 46320 | 25.22 |
| break: | Node2 | 46320 | Node2 | 46440 | 25.22 |
| trip: | Node2 | 46440 | Node1 | 47400 | 21.34 |
| break: | Node1 | 47400 | Node1 | 47760 | 21.34 |
| trip: | Node1 | 47760 | Node3 | 48480 | 17.15 |
| break: | Node3 | 48480 | Node3 | 48600 | 17.15 |
| trip: | Node3 | 48600 | Node1 | 49380 | 12.44 |
| break: | Node1 | 49380 | Node1 | 49500 | 12.44 |
| trip: | Node1 | 49500 | Node2 | 50220 | 8.73 |
| break: | Node2 | 50220 | Node2 | 50340 | 8.73 |
| trip: | Node2 | 50340 | Node1 | 51300 | 4.85 |
| pull-in | Node1 | 51300 | Depot | 51900 | 2.17 |


| Vehicle block report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle block |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Vehicle type |  |  |  | Electric |  |
| Vehicle autonomy |  |  | 60 mi |  |  |
| Type of activity | Start <br> node | Start time | End <br> node | End time | autonomy at activity end |
| pull-out | Depot | 27660 | Node1 | 28260 | 57.14 |
| trip: | Node1 | 28260 | Node3 | 28980 | 52.96 |
| break: | Node3 | 28980 | Node3 | 29100 | 52.96 |
| trip: | Node3 | 29100 | Node1 | 29880 | 48.24 |
| break: | Node1 | 29880 | Node1 | 30060 | 48.24 |
| trip: | Node1 | 30060 | Node2 | 30780 | 44.53 |
| break: | Node2 | 30780 | Node2 | 31140 | 44.53 |
| trip: | Node2 | 31140 | Node1 | 32100 | 40.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 32100 | Node1 | 32220 | 40.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 32220 | Node3 | 32940 | 36.47 |
| break: | Node3 | 32940 | Node3 | 33060 | 36.47 |
| trip: | Node3 | 33060 | Node1 | 33840 | 31.75 |
| break: | Node1 | 33840 | Node1 | 33960 | 31.75 |
| trip: | Node1 | 33960 | Node2 | 34680 | 28.05 |
| break: | Node2 | 34680 | Node2 | 34980 | 28.05 |
| trip: | Node2 | 34980 | Node1 | 35940 | 24.17 |
| sCharge: | Node1 | 35940 | Node1 | 36720 | 30.67 |
| trip: | Node1 | 36720 | Node3 | 37440 | 26.49 |
| break: | Node3 | 37440 | Node3 | 37620 | 26.49 |
| trip: | Node3 | 37620 | Node1 | 38400 | 21.77 |
| sCharge: | Node1 | 38400 | Node1 | 39120 | 27.77 |
| trip: | Node1 | 39120 | Node3 | 39840 | 23.59 |
| break: | Node3 | 39840 | Node3 | 40020 | 23.59 |
| trip: | Node3 | 40020 | Node1 | 40800 | 18.87 |
| break: | Node1 | 40800 | Node1 | 41580 | 18.87 |
| trip: | Node1 | 41580 | Node3 | 42300 | 14.68 |
| break: | Node3 | 42300 | Node3 | 42480 | 14.68 |
| trip: | Node3 | 42480 | Node1 | 43260 | 9.97 |
| fCharge: | Node1 | 43260 | Node1 | 44040 | 35.97 |
| trip: | Node1 | 44040 | Node2 | 44760 | 32.26 |
| break: | Node2 | 44760 | Node2 | 44880 | 32.26 |
| trip: | Node2 | 44880 | Node1 | 45840 | 28.38 |
| break: | Node1 | 45840 | Node1 | 46080 | 28.38 |
| trip: | Node1 | 46080 | Node3 | 46800 | 24.20 |
| break: | Node3 | 46800 | Node3 | 46920 | 24.20 |
| trip: | Node3 | 46920 | Node1 | 47700 | 19.48 |
| break: | Node1 | 47700 | Node1 | 47940 | 19.48 |
| trip: | Node1 | 47940 | Node2 | 48660 | 15.78 |
| break: | Node2 | 48660 | Node2 | 48780 | 15.78 |
| trip: | Node2 | 48780 | Node1 | 49740 | 11.90 |
| pull-in | Node1 | 49740 | Depot | 50340 | 9.22 |


| Vehicle block report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle block |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Vehicle type |  |  |  | Electric |  |
| Vehicle autonomy |  |  | End node | 60 mi |  |
| Type of activity | Start node | Start time |  | End time | autonomy at activity end |
| pull-out: | Depot | 28500 | Node1 | 29100 | 57.14 |
| trip: | Node1 | 29100 | Node3 | 29820 | 52.96 |
| break: | Node3 | 29820 | Node3 | 29940 | 52.96 |
| trip: | Node3 | 29940 | Node1 | 30720 | 48.24 |
| break: | Node1 | 30720 | Node1 | 30840 | 48.24 |
| trip: | Node1 | 30840 | Node2 | 31560 | 44.53 |
| break: | Node2 | 31560 | Node2 | 31920 | 44.53 |
| trip: | Node2 | 31920 | Node1 | 32880 | 40.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 32880 | Node1 | 33000 | 40.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 33000 | Node3 | 33720 | 36.47 |
| break: | Node3 | 33720 | Node3 | 33840 | 36.47 |
| trip: | Node3 | 33840 | Node1 | 34620 | 31.75 |
| break: | Node1 | 34620 | Node1 | 34740 | 31.75 |
| trip: | Node1 | 34740 | Node2 | 35460 | 28.05 |
| break: | Node2 | 35460 | Node2 | 35700 | 28.05 |
| trip: | Node2 | 35700 | Node1 | 36600 | 24.17 |
| pull-in | Node2 | 36600 | Depot | 37200 | 21.49 |
| sCharghe | Depot | 37200 | Depot | 42260 | 60.00 |
| pull-out: | Depot | 42260 | Node2 | 43260 | 57.14 |
| trip: | Node1 | 43260 | Node2 | 43980 | 53.44 |
| break: | Node2 | 43980 | Node2 | 44100 | 53.44 |
| trip: | Node2 | 44100 | Node1 | 45060 | 49.56 |
| break: | Node1 | 45060 | Node1 | 45240 | 49.56 |
| trip: | Node1 | 45240 | Node3 | 45960 | 45.37 |
| break: | Node3 | 45960 | Node3 | 46080 | 45.37 |
| trip: | Node3 | 46080 | Node1 | 46860 | 40.66 |
| break: | Node1 | 46860 | Node1 | 47160 | 40.66 |
| trip: | Node1 | 47160 | Node2 | 47880 | 36.95 |
| break: | Node2 | 47880 | Node2 | 48000 | 36.95 |
| trip: | Node2 | 48000 | Node1 | 48960 | 33.07 |
| break: | Node1 | 48960 | Node1 | 49440 | 33.07 |
| trip: | Node1 | 49440 | Node3 | 50160 | 28.89 |
| break: | Node3 | 50160 | Node3 | 50280 | 28.89 |
| trip: | Node3 | 50280 | Node1 | 51060 | 24.17 |
| pull-in | Node1 | 51060 | Depot | 51660 | 21.49 |

## 7 Glossary

Headway: in transit speak headway is the amount of time between transit vehicle arrival at a stop. A route that has a vehicle once an hour have a 60 minute headway.
Line: a line is a grouping of routes that is generally known to the public by a similar name or number
Route: a route is a link sequence, defined by an ordered sequence of (two or more) points on route. A route may pass through the same route point more than once, as in the case of a loop.

